	11	
1	FAISAL M. ZUBAIRI (SBN 244233)	
2	zubairi.faisal@dorsey.com ISAAC M. GABRIEL (SBN 325008)	
3	gabriel.isaac@dorsey.com NAVDEEP K. SINGH (SBN 284486)	
4	singh.navdeep@dorsey.com JESSICA M. LEANO (SBN 323677)	
5	leano.jessica@dorsey.com DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP	
6	600 Anton Boulevard, Suite 2000 Costa Mesa, CA 92626-7655	
7	Telephone: (714) 800-1400 Facsimile: (714) 800-1499	
8	Attorneys for Defendant SPROUTS FARM MARKET, INC.	MERS
9	,	
10		
11	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
12	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CAL	IFORNIA – SOUTHERN DIVISION
13		
14	ROBERT COHEN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	CASE NO:
15	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF FAISAL M. ZUBAIRI IN SUPPORT OF
16	ŕ	DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION TO
17	VS. SDDOUTS FADMEDS MADKET INC	THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT
18	SPROUTS FARMERS MARKET, INC., and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and each of them,	COURT - FEDERAL QUESTION
1920	Defendants.	[Filed Concurrently Herewith: Notice of Removal of Action to Federal Court, Civil Case Cover Sheet, Certificate of Interested
21		Parties, and Appendix]
22		Complaint Filed: September 6, 2022 Trial date: Not Set
23		
24	///	
25	///	
26	///	
27		
28		

DECLARATION OF FAISAL M. ZUBAIRI

- I, Faisal M. Zubairi, declare as follows:
- 1. I am a partner at the law firm of Dorsey & Whitney LLP, attorneys for Defendant Sprouts Farmers Market, Inc. ("Sprouts") in the above-captioned matter. I submit this declaration in support of Sprouts' Notice of Removal of Action to the United States District Court. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration, except as to those which are stated on information and belief, and could testify thereto if called upon to do so.
- 2. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of the Complaint that was served on Sprouts on September 8, 2022 in the action filed in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Orange entitled *Robert Cohen, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Sprouts Farmers Market, Inc., and Does 1 through 10, inclusive, and each of them*, Case No. 30-2022-01279027-CU-NP-CXC (the "State Court Action").
- 3. The Complaint was served along with a Summons and Civil Case Cover Sheet. True and correct copies of the Summons and Civil Case Cover Sheet are attached hereto as **Exhibit B** and **Exhibit C**, respectively.
- 4. I was also able to pull a copy of the complete Register of Actions for the State Court Action from the docket available for the Orange County Superior Court, which includes documents that were not served along with the Complaint. Attached hereto as **Exhibit D** is a true and correct copy of the Register of Actions. As set forth therein, in addition to the Summons, Civil Case Cover Sheet, and Complaint attached above, the documents listed below as Exhibits E through H have also been filed in and/or were also available for download from the Orange County Superior Court website in relation to the State Court Action.
- 5. Attached hereto as **Exhibit E** is a true and correct copy of the September 22, 2022 Minute Order entered in the State Court Action.
 - 6. Attached hereto as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of the Certificate of

Mailing regarding the September 22, 2022 Minute Order entered in the State Court Action.

- 7. Attached hereto as **Exhibit G** is a true and correct copy of the Receipt for Plaintiff's Fees in the State Court Action.
- 8. Attached hereto as **Exhibit H** is a true and correct copy of the Proof of Service filed by the plaintiff in the State Court Action. As set forth therein, the Summons and Complaint in the State Court Action were not served on Sprouts until September 8, 2022.
- 9. Sprouts is not aware of any other proceedings that have taken place in the State Court Action, and has not entered an appearance in the underlying action prior to the filing of this Notice of Removal.
- 10. As of the date of the filing of this Notice of Removal, I am not aware of any Doe defendant having been served with a copy of the Summons and Complaint in the State Court Action.
- 11. No other previous Notice of Removal has been filed in or made to this Court in connection with the State Court Action.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America and the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 7th day of October 2022, at Costa Mesa, California.

Faisal M. Zubairi

EXHIBIT A

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Todd M. Friedman (SBN 216752) Adrian R. Bacon (SBN 280332) Meghan E. George (SBN 274525) Thomas E. Wheeler (SBN 308789) LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN, P 21031 Ventura Blvd, Suite 340 Woodland Hills, CA 91364 Phone: 323-306-4234 Fax: 866-633-0228 tfriedman@toddflaw.com abacon@toddflaw.com mgeorge@toddflaw.com twheeler@toddflaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff	C.C. Assigned for All Purposes Judge Glenda Sanders CX-101
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		T OF CALIFORNIA NTY OF ORANGE Case No. 30-2022-01279027-CU-NP-CXC CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF: 1. THE FAIR AND ACCURATE CREDIT TRANSACTIONS ACT, 15 U.S.C. Section 1681(c)(g)(1) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
	CLASS ACTIO	ON COMPLAINT -1- Exhibit A, Page 5

-1-

Electronically Filed by Superior Court of California, County of Orange, 09/06/2022 63:25:07 PM, 2 30-2022-01279027-CU-NF-CXC-ROA#2 DAVID H. YAMASAWI, Clerk of the Court By G. Ramifez, Deputy Clerk.

a

3

45

67

8

1011

12

1314

16

15

1718

10

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

2728

Plaintiff, Robert Cohen ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, alleges the following upon information and belief based upon personal knowledge:

NATURE OF THE CASE

1. Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated seeking damages and any other available legal or equitable remedies resulting from the illegal actions of SPROUTS FARMERS MARKET, INC. ("Defendant" or "Sprouts"), in negligently, knowingly, and/or willfully including extra credit card and debit card numbers on purchase receipts issued to Plaintiff and those consumers who are similarly situated, in violation of the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act ("FACTA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1681(c)(g)(1) thereby failing to protect consumer credit information.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction is pursuant to the California Constitution, Article VI, section 10, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other courts." The statutes under which this action is brought do not specify any other basis for jurisdiction..
- 3. Venue is proper in the Superior Court of California for the County of Orange because Defendant does business within the State of California and Plaintiff resides within the County of Orange and the place where the incident took place was within the County of Orange.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff, Robert Cohen, is a natural person residing in Tustin, California and is a "consumer" as defined by *15 U.S.C.* § *1681a*.
- 5. Defendant, SPROUTS FARMERS MARKET, INC., is a person that accepts credit cards or debit cards for the transaction of business within the meaning of the FACTA and is a "person" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1681a.
- 6. The above named Defendants, and their subsidiaries, agents, and franchisees, are collectively referred to as "Defendants." The true names and capacities of the Defendants sued herein as DOE DEFENDANTS 1 through 10, inclusive, are currently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues such Defendants by fictitious names. Each of the Defendants designated herein

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

as a DOE is legally responsible for the unlawful acts alleged herein. Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend the Complaint to reflect the true names and capacities of the DOE Defendants when such identities become known.

7. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all relevant times, each and every Defendant was acting as an agent and/or employee of each of the other Defendants and was acting within the course and scope of said agency and/or employment with the full knowledge and consent of each of the other Defendants. Plaintiff is informed and believe that each of the acts and/or omissions complained of herein was made known to, and ratified by, each of the other Defendants.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. On or around August 3, 2022, Plaintiff went to Defendant's store at 1500 East Village Way, Orange, California 92865 multiple times and purchased madeleines, a smoothie, and other products from Defendant. Plaintiff purchased these items with a Credit Card ending in -69 and a debit card ending in -01.
- 9. Defendant printed an electronically-generated register receipt which displayed Plaintiff's six initial and last four credit card and debit card numbers in direct violation of FACTA.
 - 10. In relevant part, FACTA provides that:
 - a) ... no person that accepts credit cards or debit cards for the transaction of business shall print more than the last five digits of the card number or the expiration date upon any receipt provided to the card holder at the point or transaction of sale. (15 U.S.C. § 1681c(g)(1).)
- 11. By printing seven additional card numbers of Plaintiff's credit and debit cards on receipts, Defendant has violated this provision of the statute.
- 12. Defendant's actions constitute an irresponsible disregard for Plaintiff's and similarly situated consumers' credit information.
 - 13. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereupon alleges that Defendant and/or agent

¹ See 15 U.S.C.A. § 1681c.

² http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/PLAW-108publ159/pdf/PLAW-108publ159.pdf.

³ http://www.acc.com/legalresources/quickcounsel/tfaacta.cfm;

http://www.investopedia.com/terms/f/facta.asp; and

 ${\it http://search financial security.techtarget.com/definition/FACTA}.$

of Defendants handled many of these receipts and were aware that these receipts included the extra numbers of its customers credit and/or debit cards.

- 14. Additionally, Plaintiff has made other purchases at Defendant's stores within the last year during which he has not taken his printed receipt, resulting in his printed receipt with his extra credit or debit card numbers being able to be stolen without his knowledge.
- 15. It has been approximately twelve (12) years since the FACTA was originally passed to supplement the Fair Credit Reporting Act and has required compliance for all cash registers since December 4, 2006¹.
- 16. Those in the industry of engaging in consumer transactions have to incorporate the costs of legal liability into their overhead in order to obtain reasonable profits and margins, giving a strong incentive for those in the industry to obtain some understanding of the laws governing these consumer transactions, such as the FACTA.
- 17. This is necessary for the fruition of the purpose of the statute to provide those engaging in consumer transactions and handling sensitive information to enact reasonable policies "to prevent identity theft, improve resolution of consumer disputes, improve the accuracy of consumer records, make improvements in the use of, and consumer access to, credit information, and for other purposes."²
- 18. Accordingly, knowledge of the act has become more and more common place with many people posting popular online articles about the act.³
- 19. The act has assimilated itself within the industry with the majority of businesses complying with the statute.
- 20. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereupon alleges that Defendant and/or agents of Defendant knew and should have known that they were engaging in the systematic illegal practice described above.

12

14

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27 28

- 21. There are costs associated with compliance with the FACTA, including buying new cash registers and/or paying an expert to come change the program that prints receipts in order to comply with the provisions of the FACTA.
- 22. Defendant benefits from failing to comply with the provision of the FACTA in this way to the detriment of Plaintiff and Class members.
- 23. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereupon alleges that Defendant and/or agents of Defendant willfully engaged in the systematic illegal practice described above.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

24. Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, under Cal. Civ. C. § 382, as a member of the proposed class (hereafter "The Class") defined as follows:

> All persons within the United States to whom Defendants provided an electronically printed receipt at the point of sale or transaction, in a transaction occurring nationwide within two years of filing the complaint, and wherein the receipt displayed (a) more than the last five digits of the person's credit card or debit card number.

- 25. Plaintiff represents, and is a member of, The Class, consisting of All persons within the United States to whom Defendants provided an electronically printed receipt at the point of sale or transaction, in a transaction occurring nationwide within two years of filing the complaint, and wherein the receipt displayed (a) more than five digits of the person's credit card or debit card number.
- Defendant, its employees and agents, and the presiding Judge and associated 26. Court staff are excluded from The Class. Plaintiff does not know the number of members in The Class, but believes the Class members number in the thousands, if not more. Thus, this matter should be certified as a Class Action to assist in the expeditious litigation of the matter.
- 27. The Class is so numerous that the individual joinder of all of its members is impractical. While the exact number and identities of The Class members are unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff is

informed and believes and thereon alleges that The Class includes thousands of members. Plaintiff alleges that The Class members may be ascertained by the records maintained by Defendant.

- 28. Plaintiff and members of The Class were harmed by the acts of Defendant in at least the following ways: Defendant illegally printed out sensitive information of Plaintiff and Class members and disseminated it where it could be easily spotted in disregard of their duty to protect this information.
- 29. Common questions of fact and law exist as to all members of The Class which predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of The Class. These common legal and factual questions, which do not vary between Class members, and which may be determined without reference to the individual circumstances of any Class members, include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. Whether, within the two years prior to the filing of this Complaint, Defendant printed out the last five digits of Plaintiff's and the Class member's credit and debit cards at the point of the sale and/or transaction;
 - b. Whether Defendant knew or should have known that the receipts were printed out with the last five digits of Plaintiff's and the Class member's credit cards and/or debit cards at the point of the sale and/or transaction;
 - c. Whether Defendant knew or should have known that the FACTA required that Defendant not print out receipts with the last five digits of Plaintiff's and the Class Member's credit cards and/or debit cards at the point of the sale and/or transaction;
 - d. Whether Defendant willfully failed to comply with these provisions of FACTA;
 - e. Whether Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby, and the extent of damages for such violation; and
 - f. Whether Defendant should be enjoined from engaging in such conduct in the future.

- 30. As a person that received a receipt at the point of the sale and/or transaction with Plaintiff's credit and debit card numbers, Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of The Class.
- 31. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of The Class. Plaintiff has retained attorneys experienced in the prosecution of class actions.
- 32. A class action is superior to other available methods of fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since individual litigation of the claims of all Class members is impracticable. Even if every Class member could afford individual litigation, the court system could not. It would be unduly burdensome to the courts in which individual litigation of numerous issues would proceed. Individualized litigation would also present the potential for varying, inconsistent, or contradictory judgments and would magnify the delay and expense to all parties and to the court system resulting from multiple trials of the same complex factual issues. By contrast, the conduct of this action as a class action presents fewer management difficulties, conserves the resources of the parties and of the court system, and protects the rights of each Class member.
- 33. The prosecution of separate actions by individual Class members would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of the other Class members not parties to such adjudications or that would substantially impair or impede the ability of such non-party Class members to protect their interests.
- 34. Defendant has acted or refused to act in respects generally applicable to The Class, thereby making appropriate final and injunctive relief with regard to the members of the Class as a whole.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Negligent Violations of the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act 15 U.S.C. Section 1681c(g)(1)

- 35. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-34.
- 36. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the FACTA, including but not limited to the above cited provisions of

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	
2	7	
2	8	

15 U.S.C. § 1681c(g)(1).

- 37. As a result of Defendant's negligent and willful violations 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(g)(1), Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled to actual damages and reasonable attorney's fees and costs, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.
- 38. Plaintiff and the Class members are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Knowing Violations of the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act 15 U.S.C. Section 1681c(g)(1)

- 39. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-34.
- 40. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple knowing violations of the FACTA, including but not limited to the above cited provisions of 15 $U.S.C. \ \S \ 1681c(g)(1)$.
- 41. As a result of Defendants' knowing violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(g)(1), Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled an award of \$1,000.00 in statutory damages or actual damages, whichever is greater, and reasonable attorneys fees and costs for each and every violation, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(b)(1).
- 42. Plaintiff and the Class members are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests judgment against Defendant for the following:

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Negligent Violations of the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act 15 U.S.C. Section 1681c(g)(1)

• As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(g)(1), Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled to actual damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o;

- 1	
1	• Injunctive relief; and
2	• Any and all other relief that the Court deems just and proper.
3	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
4	Knowing Violations of the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act
5	15 U.S.C. Section 1681c(g)(1)
6	• As a result of Defendant's knowing violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(g)(1),
7	Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled to and request damages, as provided
8	by statute, of actual damages or statutory damages for each and every violation,
9	pursuant to 15 U.S.C. \S 1681 $n(b)(1)$;
10	• Reasonable attorneys fees and costs, 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(b)(1);
11	• Injunctive relief; and
12	 Any and all other relief that the Court deems just and proper.
13	Plaintiff Respectfully Requests A Jury Trial In This Matter.
14	
15	Respectfully Submitted this 6th Day of September, 2022,
16	
17	LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN, P.C.
18	By:
19	Todd M. Friedman Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman
20	Attorneys for Plaintiff
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

EXHIBIT B

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

SPROUTS FARMERS MARKET, INC., and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and each of them,

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

ROBERT COHEN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Civil Complex Center	CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso):
751 W. Santa Ana Blvd.	30-2022-01279027-CU-NP-CXC
Santa Ana, CA 92701	Judge Glenda Sanders

Santa Ana, CA 92701			Judge Glenda Sai	nders
(El nombre, la dirección y e		el demandante, o del d	attorney, is: lemandante que no tiene abogado, es): coodland Hills, CA 91364, 323-30)6-4234
DATE: 09/06/2022 (Fecha)	DAVID H. YAMASAKI, Clerk of the Court	Clerk, by (Secretario)	_ G. Ramirez	, Deputy (Adjunto)
` '	summons, use Proof of Service of S e esta citatión use el formulario Proof	summons <i>(form POS-0</i> f of Service of Summo	10).) ns, (POS-010)).	
[SEAL]	3. on behalf of (specify): under: CCP 416.10 CCP 416.20	ndant. nder the fictitious name	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservatee)	
1040	other (specify 4. by personal delivery of	y):	,,	,

EXHIBIT C

CM-010 Electronically Filed by Superior Court of California, County of Orange, 09/06/2022 03:25:07 PM. CM. ATOMINEST TOUR M. Friedman, P.S. KY, Clerk of the Court By G. Rander vision of Tour M. Friedman, P.S. KY, Clerk of the Court By G. Rander vision of Tour M. Friedman, P.S. KY, Clerk of the Court By G. Rander vision of Tour M. Friedman, P.S. KY, Clerk of the Court By G. Rander vision of Tour M. Friedman, P.S. KY, Clerk of the Court By G. Rander vision of the Cou 21031 Ventura Blvd., Suite 340, Woodland Hills, CA 91364 TELEPHONE NO.: 323-306-4234 FAX NO. (Optional): 866-633-0228 E-MAIL ADDRESS: tfriedman@toddflaw.com ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, Robert Cohen, individually and on behalf of all others similar SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE STREET ADDRESS: 751 W. Santa Ana Blvd. MAILING ADDRESS: 751 W. Santa Ana Blvd. CITY AND ZIP CODE: Santa Ana, CA 92701 BRANCH NAME: Civil Complex Center CASE NAME: Robert Cohen v. Sprouts Farmers Market, Inc., et al. CASE NUMBER **CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Complex Case Designation** 30-2022-01279027-CU-NP-CXC x Unlimited Limited Counter Joinder (Amount (Amount Filed with first appearance by defendant JUDGE: demanded demanded is Judge Glenda Sanders (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402) exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less) DEPT . Items 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2). cx-l0l1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case: **Auto Tort** Contract **Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation** (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403) Auto (22) Breach of contract/warranty (06) Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) Uninsured motorist (46) Rule 3.740 collections (09) Construction defect (10) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Other collections (09) Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Mass tort (40) Insurance coverage (18) Asbestos (04) Securities litigation (28) Other contract (37) Product liability (24) Environmental/Toxic tort (30) Real Property Medical malpractice (45) Insurance coverage claims arising from the Eminent domain/Inverse above listed provisionally complex case Other PI/PD/WD (23) condemnation (14) types (41) Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort Wrongful eviction (33) **Enforcement of Judgment** Other real property (26) Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Enforcement of judgment (20) **Unlawful Detainer** Civil rights (08) Miscellaneous Civil Complaint Commercial (31) Defamation (13) RICO (27) Residential (32) Fraud (16) Other complaint (not specified above) (42) Drugs (38) Intellectual property (19) **Miscellaneous Civil Petition** Judicial Review Professional negligence (25) Partnership and corporate governance (21) Asset forfeiture (05) X Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Other petition (not specified above) (43) Petition re: arbitration award (11) **Employment** Writ of mandate (02) Wrongful termination (36) Other judicial review (39) Other employment (15) complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the This case x is is not factors requiring exceptional judicial management: Large number of separately represented parties Large number of witnesses Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel Coordination with related actions pending in one or more issues that will be time-consuming to resolve courts in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal Substantial amount of documentary evidence Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision f. 3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. x monetary b. x nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. x punitive Number of causes of action (specify): This case **x** is is not a class action suit. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.) Date: September 6, 2022 Todd M. Friedman (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY) NOTICE · Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions. • File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. · If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all

- other parties to the action or proceeding.
- Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.

Page 1 of 2

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the **primary** cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages. (2) punitive damages. (3) recovery of real property. (4) recovery of personal property. or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that

the case is complex.

Auto Tort

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04)

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death

Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24)

Medical Malpractice (45)

Medical Malpractice-

Physicians & Surgeons

Other Professional Health Care Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip

and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD

(e.g., assault, vandalism)

Intentional Infliction of

Emotional Distress

Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress**

Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business

Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination,

false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

(13)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19)

Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)

Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer

or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller

Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open

book accounts) (09)

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff

Other Promissory Note/Collections

Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally

complex) (18) Auto Subrogation

Other Coverage

Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)

Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise,

report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus

Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39)

Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal-Labor

Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)

Construction Defect (10)

Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)

Securities Litigation (28)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex

case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20)

Abstract of Judgment (Out of

County)

Confession of Judgment (non-

domestic relations)

Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award

(not unpaid taxes)

Petition/Certification of Entry of

Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment

Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified

above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only

Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment) Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified

above) (43)

Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence

Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse

Election Contest

Petition for Name Change

Petition for Relief From Late

Claim Other Civil Petition

EXHIBIT D

Case Summary:

Case Id:	30-2022-01279027-CU-NP-CXC
Case Title:	ROBERT COHEN VS. SPROUTS FARMERS MARKET, INC.
Case Type:	NON-PI/PD/WD TORT - OTHER
Filing Date:	09/06/2022
Category:	CIVIL - UNLIMITED

Register Of Actions:

ROA	Docket	Filing Date	Filing Party	Document	Select
1	E-FILING TRANSACTION 11035031 RECEIVED ON 09/06/2022 03:25:07 PM.	09/07/2022		NV	
2	COMPLAINT FILED	09/06/2022		9 pages	
3	SUMMONS ISSUED AND FILED FILED	09/06/2022		1 pages	
4	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET FILED	09/06/2022		2 pages	
5	PAYMENT RECEIVED BY LEGALCONNECT FOR 194 - COMPLAINT OR OTHER 1ST PAPER, 34 - COMPLEX CASE FEE - PLAINTIFF IN THE AMOUNT OF 1,435.00, TRANSACTION NUMBER 13102249 AND RECEIPT NUMBER 12930251.	09/07/2022		1 pages	
6	CASE ASSIGNED TO JUDICIAL OFFICER SANDERS, GLENDA ON 09/06/2022.	09/06/2022		NV	
7	CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE SCHEDULED FOR 01/04/2023 AT 01:30:00 PM IN CX101 AT CIVIL COMPLEX CENTER.	09/22/2022		NV	
8	THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE IS SCHEDULED FOR 01/04/2023 AT 01:30 PM IN DEPARTMENT CX101.	09/22/2022		NV	
9	MINUTES FINALIZED FOR CHAMBERS WORK 09/22/2022 11:28:00 AM.	09/22/2022		2 pages	
10	CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/ELECTRONIC SERVICE	09/22/2022		3 pages	
11	E-FILING TRANSACTION 31212454 RECEIVED ON 09/28/2022 12:18:05 PM.	09/28/2022		NV	
12	PROOF OF SERVICE OF 30-DAY SUMMONS & COMPLAINT - PERSONAL FILED BY COHEN, ROBERT ON 09/28/2022	09/28/2022		3 pages	

Participants:

Name	Type	Assoc	Start Date	End Date
SPROUTS FARMERS MARKET, INC.	DEFENDANT		09/07/2022	
LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN,PC	ATTORNEY		09/07/2022	
ROBERT COHEN	PLAINTIFF		09/07/2022	

Hearings:

Description	Date	Time	Department	Judge
CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE	01/04/2023	01:30	CX101	SANDERS

Print this page

EXHIBIT E

Case 8:22-cv-01837 Document 1-1 Filed 10/07/22 Page 22 of 34 Page ID #:59 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,

COUNTY OF ORANGE CIVIL COMPLEX CENTER

MINUTE ORDER

DATE: 09/22/2022 TIME: 11:28:00 AM DEPT: CX101

JUDICIAL OFFICER PRESIDING: Glenda Sanders

CLERK: L. Mora

REPORTER/ERM: None

BAILIFF/COURT ATTENDANT: D. Miranda

CASE NO: 30-2022-01279027-CU-NP-CXC CASE INIT.DATE: 09/06/2022

CASE TITLE: Cohen vs. Sprouts Farmers Market, Inc.

CASE CATEGORY: Civil - Unlimited CASE TYPE: Non-PI/PD/WD tort - Other

EVENT ID/DOCUMENT ID: 73852442

EVENT TYPE: Chambers Work

APPEARANCES

There are no appearances by any party.

The Court finds that this case is exempt from the case disposition time goals imposed by California Rule of Court, rule 3.714 due to exceptional circumstances and estimates that the maximum time required to dispose of this case will exceed twenty-four months due to the following case evaluation factors of California Rules of Court, rules 3.715 and 3.400: Case is Complex.

Each party who has not paid the Complex fee of \$1,000.00 as required by Government Code §70616 shall pay the fee to the Clerk of the Court within ten calendar days from date of this minute order. Failure to pay required fees may result in the dismissal of complaint/cross-complaint or the striking of responsive pleadings and entry of default.

The Case Management Conference is scheduled for 01/04/2023 at 01:30 PM in Department CX101.

CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE:

Plaintiff shall, at least five court days before the hearing, file with the Court and serve on all parties of record or known to Plaintiff a brief, objective summary of the case, its procedural status, the contentions of the parties and any special considerations of which the Court should be aware. Other parties who think it necessary may also submit similar summaries three court days prior to the hearing. DO NOT use the CMC (Case Management Statement) form used for non-complex cases (Judicial Council Form CM-110).

ELECTRONIC FILING:

This case is subject to **mandatory electronic filing** pursuant to Superior Court Rules, County of Orange, Rule 352 and mandatory electronic service by order of this Court. Plaintiff shall give notice of the Status Conference and the electronic filing and service requirement to all parties of record or known to plaintiff and shall attach a copy of this minute order.

DATE: 09/22/2022 Page 1 MINUTE ORDER DEPT: CX101

Calendar No.

CASE TITLE: Cohen vs. Sprouts Farmers Market, Inc. CASE NO: 30-2022-01279027-CU-NP-CXC

PROPOSED ORDERS:

All <u>proposed orders</u> (including those submitted pursuant to stipulation) must be submitted in 2 electronic formats. One copy is to be filed in Word (without attachments), and another copy in.pdf format with all attachments/exhibits attached to it. Failure to follow this rule may result in the proposed order not being brought to the attention of the Court in a timely fashion. Ensure that the proposed order is identified as a "Proposed Order".

BOOKMARKING:

Bookmarking of exhibits to motions and supporting declarations - The court requires strict compliance with CRC, rule 3.1110 (f) (4) which requires electronic exhibits to include electronic bookmarks with the links to the first page of each exhibit, and with bookmarked titles that identify the exhibit number or letter and briefly describe the exhibit. CRC, rule 3.1110 (f) (4).

The court may continue a motion that does not comply with rule 3.1110 (f) (4) and require the parties to comply with that rule before resetting the hearing.

Additional departmental information may be obtained by visiting the Court's website at:

CIVIL COMPLEX GUIDELINES -

http://www.occourts.org/directory/civil/complex-civil/department-guidelines.pdf

Complex Civil Courtroom Schedule - GUIDELINES FOR CX101 http://www.occourts.org/directory/civil/complex-civil/calendar-schedule/guidelines_CX101.html

CIVIL TENTATIVE RULINGS -

http://www.occourts.org/directory/civil/tentative-rulings/

All counsel are ordered to appear remotely via ZOOM. If any party retains a court reporter, the reporter will be required to record the proceedings remotely.

IMPORTANT: Prior to the hearing date, the parties should check the Court's website for the most current instructions regarding how to appear for their hearing and to access services that are available to answer their questions. www.occourts.org/media-relations/CoronaVirusUpdate.html

Clerk to give notice. Plaintiff is to give notice to any party not listed on the Clerk's Certificate of Mailing/Electronic Service and is to file a Proof of Service.

DATE: 09/22/2022 MINUTE ORDER Page 2
DEPT: CX101 Calendar No.

EXHIBIT F

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE	
Civil Complex Center	
751 W. Santa Ana Blvd	
Santa Ana, CA 92701	
SHORT TITLE: Cohen vs. Sprouts Farmers Market, Inc.	
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/ELECTRONIC	CASE NUMBER:
SERVICE	30-2022-01279027-CU-NP-CXC

I certify that I am not a party to this cause. I certify that the following document(s), Minute Order dated 09/22/22, have been transmitted electronically by Orange County Superior Court at Santa Ana, CA. The transmission originated from Orange County Superior Court email address on September 22, 2022, at 12:11:39 PM PDT. The electronically transmitted document(s) is in accordance with rule 2.251 of the California Rules of Court, addressed as shown above. The list of electronically served recipients are listed below:

LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN,PC TFRIEDMAN@TODDFLAW.COM

Clerk of the Court, by: J. J. J. , Deputy

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/ELECTRONIC SERVICE

Case 8:22-cv-01837, Document 1-1, Filed 10/07/22, Page 26 of 34 Page ID #:63

COUNTY OF ORANGE CIVIL COMPLEX CENTER

MINUTE ORDER

DATE: 09/22/2022 TIME: 11:28:00 AM DEPT: CX101

JUDICIAL OFFICER PRESIDING: Glenda Sanders

CLERK: L. Mora

REPORTER/ERM: None

BAILIFF/COURT ATTENDANT: D. Miranda

CASE NO: **30-2022-01279027-CU-NP-CXC** CASE INIT.DATE: 09/06/2022

CASE TITLE: Cohen vs. Sprouts Farmers Market, Inc.

CASE CATEGORY: Civil - Unlimited CASE TYPE: Non-PI/PD/WD tort - Other

EVENT ID/DOCUMENT ID: 73852442

EVENT TYPE: Chambers Work

APPEARANCES

There are no appearances by any party.

The Court finds that this case is exempt from the case disposition time goals imposed by California Rule of Court, rule 3.714 due to exceptional circumstances and estimates that the maximum time required to dispose of this case will exceed twenty-four months due to the following case evaluation factors of California Rules of Court, rules 3.715 and 3.400: Case is Complex.

Each party who has not paid the Complex fee of \$1,000.00 as required by Government Code §70616 shall pay the fee to the Clerk of the Court within ten calendar days from date of this minute order. Failure to pay required fees may result in the dismissal of complaint/cross-complaint or the striking of responsive pleadings and entry of default.

The Case Management Conference is scheduled for 01/04/2023 at 01:30 PM in Department CX101.

CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE:

Plaintiff shall, at least five court days before the hearing, file with the Court and serve on all parties of record or known to Plaintiff a brief, objective summary of the case, its procedural status, the contentions of the parties and any special considerations of which the Court should be aware. Other parties who think it necessary may also submit similar summaries three court days prior to the hearing. DO NOT use the CMC (Case Management Statement) form used for non-complex cases (Judicial Council Form CM-110).

ELECTRONIC FILING:

This case is subject to **mandatory electronic filing** pursuant to Superior Court Rules, County of Orange, Rule 352 and mandatory electronic service by order of this Court. Plaintiff shall give notice of the Status Conference and the electronic filing and service requirement to all parties of record or known to plaintiff and shall attach a copy of this minute order.

DATE: 09/22/2022 MINUTE ORDER Page 1

DEPT: CX101 Calendar No.

CASE TITLE: Cohen vs. Sprouts Farmers Market, Inc. CASE NO: 30-2022-01279027-CU-NP-CXC

PROPOSED ORDERS:

All <u>proposed orders</u> (including those submitted pursuant to stipulation) must be submitted in 2 electronic formats. One copy is to be filed in Word (without attachments), and another copy in.pdf format with all attachments/exhibits attached to it. Failure to follow this rule may result in the proposed order not being brought to the attention of the Court in a timely fashion. Ensure that the proposed order is identified as a "Proposed Order".

BOOKMARKING:

Bookmarking of exhibits to motions and supporting declarations - The court requires strict compliance with CRC, rule 3.1110 (f) (4) which requires electronic exhibits to include electronic bookmarks with the links to the first page of each exhibit, and with bookmarked titles that identify the exhibit number or letter and briefly describe the exhibit. CRC, rule 3.1110 (f) (4).

The court may continue a motion that does not comply with rule 3.1110 (f) (4) and require the parties to comply with that rule before resetting the hearing.

Additional departmental information may be obtained by visiting the Court's website at:

CIVIL COMPLEX GUIDELINES -

http://www.occourts.org/directory/civil/complex-civil/department-guidelines.pdf

Complex Civil Courtroom Schedule - GUIDELINES FOR CX101 http://www.occourts.org/directory/civil/complex-civil/calendar-schedule/guidelines_CX101.html

CIVIL TENTATIVE RULINGS -

http://www.occourts.org/directory/civil/tentative-rulings/

All counsel are ordered to appear remotely via ZOOM. If any party retains a court reporter, the reporter will be required to record the proceedings remotely.

IMPORTANT: Prior to the hearing date, the parties should check the Court's website for the most current instructions regarding how to appear for their hearing and to access services that are available to answer their questions. www.occourts.org/media-relations/CoronaVirusUpdate.html

Clerk to give notice. Plaintiff is to give notice to any party not listed on the Clerk's Certificate of Mailing/Electronic Service and is to file a Proof of Service.

DATE: 09/22/2022 MINUTE ORDER Page 2
DEPT: CX101 Calendar No.

EXHIBIT G



SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF ORANGE

Superior Court of California, County of Orange

751 W. Santa Ana Blvd Santa Ana, CA 92701

PAYMENT RECEIPT

E-Filing Transaction #: 11035031

Receipt #: 12930251

Clerk ID: gramirez Transaction No: 13102249 Transaction Date: 09/07/2022 Transaction Time: 09:20:13 AM

9.a0 <u>2</u>	10.022.0					
Case Number	Fee Type	Qty	Fee Amount\$	Balance Due	Amount Paid	Remaining Balance
30-2022-01279027-CU-NP-CXC	194 - Complaint or other 1st paper	1	\$435.00	\$435.00	\$435.00	\$0.00
30-2022-01279027-CU-NP-CXC	34 - Complex Case Fee - Plaintiff	1	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$0.00
				Sales Tax:	\$0.00	
				Total:	\$1,435.00	Total Rem. Bal:
E-Filing: - LegalConnect						
				E-Filing:	\$1,435.00	
			Total Amo	unt Tendered:	\$1,435.00	
				Change Due:	\$0.00	
				Balance:	\$0.00	

A \$45 fee may be charged for each returned check, electronic funds transfer or credit card payment.

COPY

EXHIBIT H

ATT	ORNEY OR F	ARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY		
TOI	DD FRIEDM <i>A</i>				
Lav	v Offices of	Todd M. Friedman,PC			
210	31 Ventura	Blvd. #340			
Wo	odland Hills	, CA 91364			
TEL	EPHONE NO.:	(323) 306-4236 FAX NO. (Optional):			
		S (Optional): tfriedman@toddflaw.com			
АТТ	ORNEY FOR	(Name): Plaintiff			
SUF	PERIOR COL	JRT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE - CIVIL COMPLEX CENTER			
		DDRESS: 751 W Santa Ana Blvd			
		DDRESS: 751 W Santa Ana Blvd			
		IP CODE: Santa Ana, CA 92701			
	BRANC	H NAME: SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE - CIVIL COMPLEX CENTER			
		OOM LEXCENTER			
	PLAINTIF	F/PETITIONER: Robert Cohen	CASE NUMBER:		
DE	EFENDANT/	RESPONDENT: Sprouts Farmers Market, Inc.	30-2022-01279027-CU-NP-CXC		
			Ref. No. or File No.:		
		PROOF OF SERVICE SUMMONS	Ref. No. of File No		
		(Separate proof of service is required for each party served.)			
1.	At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this action. BY FAX				
2.	. I served copies of: Complaint; Summons; Civil Case Cover Sheet				
3.	a. Party served (specify name of party as shown on documents served): Sprouts Farmers Markets, Inc.				
	b. 🗶	Person (other than the party in item 3a) served on behalf of an entity or as an autunder item 5b on whom substituted service was made) (specify name and relation Koy Saechao, CSC Service of Process Intake Clerk			
4.	Address where the party was served: 2710 Gateway Oaks Dr Ste 150N, Sacramento, CA 95833				
5.	5. I served the party <i>(check proper box)</i>				
a. by personal service. I personally delivered the documents listed in item 2 to the party or person authorized to rece					
	b. b. service of process for the party (1) on: 9/8/2022 (2) at: 02:49 PM by substituted service. On: at: I left the documents listed in item 2 with or in the presence of (name and title or				
		relationship to person indicated in item 3):			
		(4) (huginaa) a naman at laast 40 yaana af ana annamatiyin ah anna at	the effect of the second place of his indicate		
		(1) (business) a person at least 18 years of age apparently in charge at of the person to be served. I informed him or her of the general natur			
		(2) (home) a competent member of the household (at least 18 years of place of abode of the party. I informed him or her of the general nature	o ,		
	(3) (physical address unknown) a person of at least 18 years of age apparently in charge at the usual mailing address of the person to be served, other than a United States Postal Service post office box. I informed him or her of the general nature of the papers.				
		(4) I thereafter mailed (by first-class, postage prepaid) copies of the doc	cuments to the person to be served at		
		the place where the copies were left (Code Civ. Proc., § 415.20). I ma	·		

CASE NUMBER:

Robert Cohen

DE	EFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Sprouts Farmers Market, Inc.	30-2022-01279027-CU-NP-CXC			
	(5) I attach a declaration of diligence stating actions taken first to attem	pt personal service.			
5.	c. by mail and acknowledgment of receipt of service. I mailed the documents list address shown in item 4, by first-class mail, postage prepaid,	by mail and acknowledgment of receipt of service. I mailed the documents listed in item 2 to the party, to the address shown in item 4, by first-class mail, postage prepaid,			
	(1) on: (2) from:				
	(3) with two copies of the Notice and Acknowledgment of Receipt and a addressed to me. (Attach completed Notice and Acknowledgment of				
	(4) to an address outside California with return receipt requested. (Code	e Civ. Proc., § 415.40.)			
	d. by other means (specify means of service and authorizing code section):				
	Additional page describing service is attached.				
6.	ne "Notice to the Person Served" (on the summons) was completed as follows: as an individual defendant.				
	b. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):				
	c. as occupant.				
	d. On behalf of (specify): Sprouts Farmers Markets, Inc. under the following Code of Civil Procedure section:				
	x 416.10 (corporation) 415.95 (business o	rganization, form unknown)			
	416.20 (defunct corporation) 416.60 (minor)				
	416.30 (joint stock 416.70 (ward or cor company/association)	nservatee)			
	416.40 (association or partnership) 416.90 (authorized	person)			
	416.50 (public entity) 415.46 (occupant)				
	other:				
7.	Person who served papers				
	 a. Name: Robert J. Mason b. Address: 15345 Fairfield Ranch Rd Suite 200, Chino Hills, CA 91709 c. Telephone number: 909-664-9577 d. The fee for service was: \$80.00 e. I am: 				
	(1) not a registered California process server.				
	(2) exempt from registration under Business and Professions Code section 22	350(b).			
	(3) a registered California process server:				
	(i) owner employee independent contracto	or.			
	(ii) Registration No.: 03-007 (iii) County: Placer				
	(III) COMITY, I INCOL				

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Robert Cohen

DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Sprouts Farmers Market, Inc.

CASE NUMBER:

30-2022-01279027-CU-NP-CXC

8. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.



Robert J. Mason

Date: 09/22/2022

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

I am employed in the City of Costa Mesa, County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 600 Anton Boulevard, Suite 2000, Costa Mesa, California 92626-7655. On October 7, 2022, I served the documents named below on the parties in this action as follows:

5 6	DOCUMENT(S) SERVED:	DECLARATION OF FAISAL M. ZUBAIRI IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION TO	
7		THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT - FEDERAL QUESTION	
8		Todd M. Friedman tfriedman@toddflaw.com	
9		Adrian R. Bacon abacon@toddflaw.com	
10		Meghan E. George mgeorge@toddflaw.com Thomas E. Wheeler	
11 12		twheeler@toddflaw.com LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN, P.C. 21031 Ventura Bouleyard, Suite 340	
13		Woodland Hills, CA 91364 Telephone: (323) 306-4234 / Facsimile: (866) 633-0228 Attorneys for Plaintiff	
14		I caused each such envelope, with postage thereon fully d in the United States mail at Costa Mesa, California. I am	
15	prepaid, to be place readily familiar with	d in the United States mail at Costa Mesa, California. I am the practice of Dorsey & Whitney LLP for collection and spondence for mailing, said practice being that in the	
16	ordinary course of t	business, mail is deposited in the United States Postal y as it is placed for collection.	
17 18	(VIA ELECTRON 1010.6, my electron caused such docume	IC MAILING SERVICE) Complying with CCP Section ic business address is santos.maria@dorsey.com and I ent(s) to be electronically served to the interested parties at	
19	the e-mail addresses time after the transn transmissions were	s indicated below. I did not receive, within a reasonable nission, any electronic message or other indication that the unsuccessful.	
2021	authorized by Legal delivered on the san	SERVICE) I delivered to an authorized courier or driver Solution Attorney Service, Inc. to receive documents to be ne date. A proof of service signed by the authorized courier	
22	will be provided up (VIA FEDERAL E	EXPRESS) I am readily familiar with the practice of	
2324	Dorsey & Whitney a overnight delivery a deposited in a box overnight delivery.	LLP for collection and processing of correspondence for and know that the document(s) described herein will be or other facility regularly maintained by Federal Express for	
25	,	lare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar ose direction this service was made.	
26	Executed on October 7, 2022, at Irvine, California.		
27		merand	

Maria Santos

PROOF OF SERVICE

4853-8534-8405\1

28

1

2

3

4